

2015/16 Community Infrastructure Levy Income and Expenditure Report

Planning

2 December 2016

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Income Report 2015/2016

This report has been drafted in accordance with Regulation 62 of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended).

The CIL (Amendment) Regulations 2013 require local authorities to allocate a proportion of CIL receipts as Neighbourhood CIL (NCIL). NCIL is to be allocated in accordance with the wishes of the neighbourhoods. In Richmond 15% will be spent in this way. Neighbourhoods are geographical areas within which NCIL must be collected and spent. The remainder of CIL income (excluding administrative costs) is spent on strategic projects determined directly by the Council.

As the relationship between developments and impact on local communities often spreads much further than Village boundaries, Richmond Council is working towards establishing larger geographical areas as 'neighbourhoods' which will enable a fairer distribution of NCIL funding. If NCIL funding was to remain within Village boundaries then areas of the borough likely to be impacted by the effects of nearby developments would not benefit from NCIL funding. It should also be noted that there is a cap of £100 per dwelling within CIL neighbourhoods when applying the 15% figure. Therefore smaller areas with significant development would not directly benefit from any amount collected above the £100 per dwelling cap as any income above this level would then become part of strategic CIL.

infrastructure that borrowed money was used to provide	
(c)(iv) The amount of CIL applied to administrative expenses pursuant to regulation 61 and that amount expressed as a percentage of CIL collected in that year in accordance with that regulation	£18,122.29, 5%
(ca)(ii) The amount of CIL passed to any person for that person to apply to funding the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure	£0
(cb) CIL neighbourhood proportion	
(cb)(i) Total amount of the neighbourhood proportion of CIL receipts in the reported year,	a) Barnes: £0 b) East Sheen: £0 c) Ham/Petersham: £0 d) Hampton: £0 e) Hampton Hill: £0 f) Hampton Wick: £0 g) Kew: £31,250.00 h) Mortlake: £0 i) Richmond/Richmond Hill: £15,900.00 j) St Margaret's/East Twickenham: £661.88 k) Strawberry Hill: £0 l) Teddington: £0 m) Twickenham: £6,555.00 n) Whitton/Heathfield: £0 Total £54,366.88
(cb)(ii) & (iii) The items to which neighbourhood CIL funding has been applied and the amount of expenditure on each item	n/a
(d) CIL receipts retained for expenditure in future years	
(d)(i) Total amount of CIL receipts for the reported year retained at the end of the reported year excluding the neighbourhood proportion	£289,956.66
(d)(ii) Total amount of strategic CIL receipts from previous years retained at the end of the reported year excluding the neighbourhood proportion	£26,600
(d)(iii) Total amount of neighbourhood proportion CIL receipts for the reported year retained at the end of the reported year	a) Barnes: £0 b) East Sheen: £0 c) Ham/Petersham: £0 d) Hampton: £0
	e) Hampton Hill: £0

(d)(iv) Total amount of neighbourhood	f) Hampton Wick: £0 g) Kew: £31,250.00 h) Mortlake: £0 i) Richmond/Richmond Hill: £15,900.00 j) St Margaret's/East Twickenham: £661.88 k) Strawberry Hill: £0 l) Teddington: £0 m)Twickenham: £6,555.00 n) Whitton/Heathfield: £0 Total £54,366.88 a) Barnes: n/a
proportion CIL receipts from previous	b) East Sheen: n/a
years retained at the end of the	c) Ham/Petersham: n/a
reported year	d) Hampton: n/a
	e) Hampton Hill: n/a
	f) Hampton Wick: n/a g) Kew: n/a
	h) Mortlake: n/a
	i) Richmond/Richmond Hill: n/a
	j) St Margaret's/East Twickenham:
	n/a
	k) Strawberry Hill: n/a
	I) Teddington: £4,987.50
	m)Twickenham: n/a
	n) Whitton/Heathfield: n/a
	Total £4,987.50

Footnotes:

n/a – not applicable

Commentary:

To date CIL receipts have related to 5 developments with a total Borough CIL income of just under £400,000. Each development has been located in a different CIL neighbourhood/village.

The Council has yet to allocate strategic of neighbourhood CIL income to expenditure on specific projects, as to date income has been relatively limited. It is expected that a number of projects to be funded/part funded by strategic CIL income will be identified in the next year together with a process for allocating neighbourhood CIL to specific projects.