

Comment

Consultee	Hardy Giesler (1338209)
Email Address	
Address	
Event Name	Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023
Comment by	Hardy Giesler (1338209)
Comment ID	7
Response Date	05/07/23 17:30
Consultation Point	7 Place-based Strategy for Teddington & Hampton Wick (<u>View</u>)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Part(s) of Local Plan responding to

To which part(s) of the Local Plan does your response relate to?

Please indicate the documents(s) and part(s) you are commenting on.

Publication Local Plan (including changes to the Policies Map designations) - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s) / Policy no./name / Place-based strategy / Site Allocation(s) no./ name / Maps / Tables

Sustainability Appraisal Report - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s)

Other (for example an omission or alternative approach)

Objection to Richmond Council's Local Plan for Teddington 5th of July 2023

I am objecting to the Local Plan - Section 7: Place Based Strategy for Teddington and Hampton Wick (page 48) and Section 28: Appendix 3 Tall and Mid-Rise Building Zones (page 415).

In your overview strategy, you mention Teddington having ' a high sensitivity to change owing to the high townscape value and consistency in scale and height of existing buildings'. It is therefore surprising that you suggest a 'mid-rise zone' of buildings with 5 or 6 storeys along the railway line north of Teddington station up to and over the bridge'. This area is the main link between the High Street and station, a corridor of mainly residential buildings and a low-rise business park. My objection is on two counts:

- The area is almost entirely residential – with the exception of the business park and mid-rise zone buildings would destroy the residential environment;

- The existing business park serves a valuable purpose and being a low-rise development, fits in with

the mainly residential neighbourhood;

The only example of a mid-rise building on that street is the Travelodge hotel. It is located towards the High Street end of Station Road, which lessons the impact of the building to a degree (since the buildings in the High Street are generally higher than the residential buildings). The building is also a complete eyesore – it seems to have been erected using cheap construction materials and is 'adorned' with multiple installations of telecoms equipment on the room, much of which is obsolete, I believe.

My wife and I own a property in **example 1**, which we plan to retire to in future. Please register our objection to the proposal of a 'mid-rise zone' of buildings along Station Road.

Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Legally Compliant

Sound	•	No
Complies with the Duty to Co-operate	•	No

Reason Consider Unsound

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

Participation at Examination

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate In hearing session(s)

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.

.