

Comment

Consultee	Gary Hagreen (1338555)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023
Comment by	Gary Hagreen (1338555)
Comment ID	23
Response Date	18/07/23 20:56
Consultation Point	2.13 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Part(s) of Local Plan responding to

To which part(s) of the Local Plan does your response relate to?

Please indicate the documents(s) and part(s) you are commenting on.

Publication Local Plan (including changes to the Policies Map designations) - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s) / Policy no./name / Place-based strategy / Site Allocation(s) no./ name / Maps / Tables

Sustainability Appraisal Report - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s)

Other (for example an omission or alternative approach)

Policy 1. Living Locally and the 20- minute neighbourhood

Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Legally Compliant . No

Sound . No

Complies with the Duty to Co-operate . No

Reason Consider Unsound

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

. Positively Prepared
. Justified

Details of reason(s) for representation

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is or is not legally compliant, unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to provide comments in support of the legal compliance and/or soundness of the Local Plan, or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the response. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

Policy 1. Living Locally and the 20- minute neighbourhood

20 minute neighbourhood policy is an experiment which has a vast impact on everyones lives. I find it very concerning that that has not been publicised by Richmond council. Why would you not have a full consultation on this overreaching egregious policy?

This should not go ahead without a full public consultation

Participation at Examination

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.

Comment

Consultee	Gary Hagreen (1338555)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	
Event Name	Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023
Comment by	Gary Hagreen (1338555)
Comment ID	26
Response Date	18/07/23 21:06
Consultation Point	4.1 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Legally Compliant	.	No
Sound	.	No
Complies with the Duty to Co-operate	.	No

Reason Consider Unsound

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

- . Positively Prepared
- . Justified

Details of reason(s) for representation

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is or is not legally compliant, unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to provide comments in support of the legal compliance and/or soundness of the Local Plan, or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the response. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

Very concerned you are pushing through this 20 minute control state through the back door - this has NOT been widely published.

A full and sepoerate consultation is needed.
Very strongly disagree with this totalitarian control scheme

Participation at Examination

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.

Comment

Consultee	Gary Hagreeen (1338555)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023
Comment by	Gary Hagreeen (1338555)
Comment ID	27
Response Date	18/07/23 21:45
Consultation Point	28.14 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Part(s) of Local Plan responding to

To which part(s) of the Local Plan does your response relate to?

Please indicate the documents(s) and part(s) you are commenting on.

Publication Local Plan (including changes to the Policies Map designations) - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s) / Policy no./name / Place-based strategy / Site Allocation(s) no./ name / Maps / Tables

Sustainability Appraisal Report - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s)

Other (for example an omission or alternative approach)

Appendix 3 Tall and Mid-Rise Building Zones

St Clare (Hampton Hill): Mid-Rise Building Zone

Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Legally Compliant	.	No
Sound	.	No
Complies with the Duty to Co-operate	.	No

Reason Consider Unsound

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

. Positively Prepared

Justified

Details of reason(s) for representation

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is or is not legally compliant, unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to provide comments in support of the legal compliance and/or soundness of the Local Plan, or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the response. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

St Clare is definitely not appropriate for 5 storey developments - this site is a backland development which means it should be no higher than the surrounding 2 - 3 storey Victorian residential dwellings. The proposal for this development has already been rejected at planning committee for being too high and overbearing but the planning officer told the committee they could not reject it on reasons of height???. How can this be possible??

There is very large local opposition to this development at 5 storeys - the current proposal has over 360 local objections registered on the Richmond Planning portal.

Richmond planning strategy for Hampton Hill states:

"Hampton Hill Residential (A4) has a high sensitivity to change, owing to the high townscape value across much of the area, the consistent building heights, suburban character and sense of green, and the strategy is to conserve and enhance'
and

'There is an opportunity to establish distinctive landmarks, without recourse to tall buildings"

How can you make this statement then say that it is appropriate for 5 storeys?

I very strongly disagree with the St Clare site being included in the mid rise building zones.

Modification(s) consider necessary

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, when considering any legal compliance or soundness matter you have identified in the question above. Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the suggested change. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

remove the St Clare site from the mid rise building zones

Participation at Examination

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.