

Comment

Consultee	Greg Palmer (1338538)
Email Address	
Address	
Event Name	Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023
Comment by	Greg Palmer (1338538)
Comment ID	24
Response Date	18/07/23 16:13
Consultation Point	6 Place-based Strategy for Hampton & Hampton Hill (<u>View</u>)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Part(s) of Local Plan responding to

To which part(s) of the Local Plan does your response relate to?

Please indicate the documents(s) and part(s) you are commenting on.

Publication Local Plan (including changes to the Policies Map designations) - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s) / Policy no./name / Place-based strategy / Site Allocation(s) no./ name / Maps / Tables

Sustainability Appraisal Report - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s)

Other (for example an omission or alternative approach)

Page numbers Pages 29 - 31 and 413 - 416

Appendix 3 Tall and mid-rise building zones

Place -based strategy Page 29 and following....Place Based strategy for Hampton and Hampton Hill

Maps St Clare (Hampton Hill): Mid-Rise Building Zone Appropriate Height: 5 storeys (15m)

Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Legally Compliant		
Sound	•	No
Complies with the Duty to Co-operate		No

Reason Consider Unsound

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

- Positively Prepared
- . Justified

Details of reason(s) for representation

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is or is not legally compliant, unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to provide comments in support of the legal compliance and/or soundness of the Local Plan, or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the response. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

In section 6 Place based strategy for Hampton & Hampton hill the document says "Hampton Hill Residential (A4) has a high sensitivity to change, owing to the high townscape value across much of the area, the consistent building heights, suburban character and sense of green, and the strategy is to conserve and enhance."

'There is an opportunity to establish distinctive landmarks, without recourse to tall buildings'

However Appendix 3 states ' St Clare (Hampton Hill) Appropriate Height 5 storeys'.

The area around St Clare is 2 & 3 storey victorian terraced streets. It is inconsistent with your strategy for Hampton Hill to allow tall or mid-rise buildings in Hampton Hill.

Modification(s) consider necessary

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, when considering any legal compliance or soundness matter you have identified in the question above.Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.*Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the suggested change. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

modification(s)

The St Clare site development has not yet been passed by the Planning Committee.

It is wrong and unjustified to include this Appendix which goes against a very large number of local residents who feel the height of 5 storeys will not 'conserve or enhance' the area of Hampton Hill before it has gone through the proper process of approval.

So if consistent building heights are an important feature to conserv,e why do we see in Appendix 3 that St Clare business park has been accorded a 5 storey (mid rise) status. What are our elected representatives and council planners up to ? It would appear they are paving a way for st clares 5 storeyes to be approved. They should not they are still too many, too high and still too ugly

Participation at Examination

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Reason for Participation

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary: Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

I feel someone or indeed a range of local people should be standing up for good honest suburban architecture, and standing against over-development by profit seeking development companies who really don't care about architectural standards or population densities or the environmental costs of 'knock down and start again' building practice; and all of which appears to be given the green light by the proposed Local Plan

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.